



Behavioral Finance and Economic Development Association  
Università Bocconi

A young plant with two green leaves is growing out of a brown paper cup. Inside the cup, a Euro banknote is visible, partially obscured by the plant. The background is a light gray gradient.

# **Micro-finance: A Catalyst for Macroeconomic Development?**

Written By:

Can Demirkol  
Duru Gülsaran  
Raffaele Copia

# Table of Contents

Introduction	3
Macroeconomic Effects of Microfinance	4
Case Study: Henan, China	6
Conclusion	7
Bibliography	8

# Introduction

New business owners often need financial support from banks in the form of loans until the venture grows enough to cover its expenses. In the traditional banking system, each person is monitored carefully for eligibility before being given a loan. Even though this is the safe way for the institutions, there are many people, mostly in developing communities, who require smaller-scale loans to be able to break the poverty cycle they are trapped in. Microfinance mitigates this issue that affects millions of people living under harder conditions and unable to improve their welfare due to the lack of opportunities.

Microfinance refers to a set of financing services such as small loans, insurance, and savings accounts. The distinctive characteristic of microfinance is that it is aimed towards individuals and small businesses in low-income communities that are often excluded from traditional banking systems. By increasing financial inclusion, microfinance plays an important role in fostering economic development, poverty alleviation, and social progress in developing regions.

The key component of microfinance is microloans, which are small-scale loans that often have flexible repayment options and often target people without strong credit histories. The primary goal of these microloans is to allow entrepreneurs with limited access to traditional financing organizations to increase their income, improve their well-being, and often expand their existing businesses.

# Macroeconomic Effects of Microfinance

While microfinance has demonstrated positive impacts on development in many cases, it is essential to critically examine both the positive and negative effects to better understand its overall influence on economic and social progress.

## Positive Effects of Microfinance on Development

### **1. Poverty Alleviation:**

Microfinance has shown considerable success in alleviating poverty by enabling individuals to start or expand small businesses. By providing small loans, microfinance institutions empower entrepreneurs to invest in income-generating activities, leading to increased household income and improved living standards.

### **2. Entrepreneurship and Employment:**

Microfinance fosters entrepreneurship by supporting the creation of small businesses. As individuals access funds to start or expand their ventures, employment opportunities arise, contributing to local economic growth. This, in turn, helps build a sense of community and self-sufficiency.

### **3. Financial Inclusion:**

Microfinance plays a pivotal role in promoting financial inclusion by providing banking services to those who are traditionally excluded from the formal financial sector. Access to savings accounts, credit, and other financial services empowers individuals to better manage their finances, plan for the future, and mitigate the impact of unexpected expenses.

### **4. Women Empowerment:**

Microfinance has been particularly effective in empowering women in many societies. By providing financial resources and support, microfinance helps women start and manage small businesses, enhancing their economic independence and social standing. This empowerment often extends to improvements in education and healthcare for women and their families.

## Negative Effects of Microfinance on Development

### **1. High Interest Rates:**

One of the major criticisms of microfinance is the high interest rates charged by microfinance institutions. While these rates are necessary to cover operational costs and mitigate risks associated with lending to vulnerable populations, they can become a burden for borrowers, potentially leading to debt cycles and financial instability.

### **2. Overemphasis on Individual Entrepreneurship:**

Microfinance models sometimes prioritize individual entrepreneurship over collective community development. This individualistic focus may neglect the potential benefits of community-based initiatives, such as cooperatives, which could have a broader and more sustainable impact on local economies.

### **3. Risk of Over-Indebtedness:**

The availability of microloans may expose borrowers to the risk of over-indebtedness, especially in cases where multiple loans are taken to sustain or expand businesses. Over-indebtedness can lead to financial stress, adversely affecting the well-being of individuals and families.

### **4. Limited Impact on Structural Issues:**

While microfinance can address immediate financial needs, it may have a limited impact on addressing deeper structural issues such as inadequate infrastructure, weak governance, and systemic inequalities. Without complementary efforts to address these underlying challenges, the transformative potential of microfinance may be constrained.

## Case Study: Henan, China

The effects of microfinance programs on economic development can be observed through one particular case study conducted in rural China, highlighting the wide range of ways microcredits enhance economic development factors in local economies. To conduct a thorough exploration of this particular case study, it is necessary to understand microfinance in the context of China.

The majority of microcredit programs in China take place in the western provinces of the country. Western China is mostly mountainous and the median income in these provinces is much lower than in the East. A 2002 International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) evaluation of the microfinance landscape in China revealed reduced poverty levels, ranging from 8% to 20% decreases depending on the program and village. Improvements in economic welfare are further amplified thanks to the growth in the number of job opportunities. A microfinance study carried out by Liu & Zhang (1997) in the Hubei province has found, through a proxy indicator for income effects, a decrease in the number of villagers who had to leave their villages to seek employment. In the study village, migrant villagers dropped from 310 to 50 in two years. Moreover, another study has shown that microcredit programs can empower women entrepreneurs, hence strengthening gender parity in the respective villages. A synthesis of these findings underlines that microfinance programs in China have contributed to poverty alleviation in the participating villages.

To dive deeper, a case study based in the Henan province of China can be explored. The study found that participation in the program had led to several positive impacts in the lives of borrowers, in particular in terms of self-satisfaction and economic security, with villagers commonly expressing a sense of enhanced confidence in themselves and their ability to manage their economic affairs. Follow-on impacts that were identified in the study included improved living conditions, increased spending on education and health, and an enhanced sense of well-being. Village-wide impacts, both social and economic, were also identified as a result.

# Conclusion

To sum up, the effects of microfinance on development are multifaceted, encompassing both positive and negative aspects. While microfinance has undeniably contributed to poverty alleviation, entrepreneurship, and financial inclusion, its impact is not without drawbacks. Issues such as high-interest rates, overemphasis on individual entrepreneurship, and limited impact on structural issues underscore the importance of a nuanced approach to leveraging microfinance for sustainable development. Policymakers, practitioners, and researchers must continue to refine and adapt microfinance models to maximize positive impacts while mitigating potential harms, ensuring that the pursuit of financial inclusion aligns with broader goals of economic and social development.

# BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Yunus, M. (2003). "Banker to the Poor: Micro-Lending and the Battle Against World Poverty." PublicAffairs.
2. Armendáriz de Aghion, B., & Morduch, J. (2005). "The Economics of Microfinance." MIT Press.
3. Duflo, E., Banerjee, A., & Glennerster, R. (2008). "Putting a Band-Aid on a Corpse: Incentives for Nurses in the Indian Public Health Care System." *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 6(2-3), 487–500.
4. Morduch, J. (1999). "The Microfinance Promise." *Journal of Economic Literature*, 37(4), 1569–1614.
5. Roodman, D. (2012). "Due Diligence: An Impertinent Inquiry into Microfinance." Center for Global Development.
6. Nichols, S. (n.d.). A Case Study Analysis of the Impacts of Microfinance upon the Lives of the Poor in Rural China. *School of Social Science and Planning RMIT University*.