BFDE

Influence of Gender on Financial Decision-Making and Investment Behavior

Authors:
Aya Bedhiaf
Isabella Moregola
Twisha Puranik
Zeynep Gültekin

Table of contents

Introduction………2

Behavioral biases that explain the disparity in financial performance across genders…………………………2

Understanding gender bias………………………………………………………………………………………………………3

Supporting studies and data……………………………………………………………………………………………………4

Limitations and other perspectives…………………………………………………………………………………………5

Conclusion………6

Introduction

Gender significantly shapes decision-making, especially when it comes to making financial decisions and investments. Individuals are influenced by social, cultural, and biological factors that make them react differently. This report discusses gender's influence on financial decision-making and investment behaviors through credible studies that have been conducted in order to understand this disparity through behavioral and psychological factors.

A lot of studies have shown that women's financial behavior is often influenced by lower confidence levels, limited financial literacy, and societal expectations favoring risk aversion. While men, on the other hand, may be influenced by cultural ideals of masculinity and authority in finance.

However, it is important to understand that this stereotype oversimplifies reality, and does not hold for all individuals, because other factors such as age, education, and income level can influence the way they invest. Additionally, women are usually misrepresented in firms' financial decisions and they do not account for anomalies in which certain women are less risk averse. The generalization and the "bubble" in which these studies have been found may lead to discrepancies in results and conclusions.

Nevertheless, all data and studies explained and used throughout this report give concise and strong data to support the ideas presented.

Behavioral biases that explain the disparity in financial performance across genders

When trying to understand the impact of gender on investment decisions it is important to note that there is a simple yet powerful explanation for the large volumes of trading in the stock market: overconfidence. Essentially, what causes the disparity between genders and investment is the fact that human beings are overconfident in their abilities, knowledge, and what they perceive in the future. This greater overconfidence leads to excess trading and therefore less net expected return. This hypothesis is proven when deep studies are conducted between gender and investment.

Firstly, psychologists state that men tend to be more confident than women in the realm of finance. And this difference results in the fact that men trade more than women, however, the performance of men when trading will be hurt with more excess than that of women. Reports have stated that this overconfidence has come from an unambiguous lack of feedback given to women when performing tasks that are related to finance. This lack of feedback given to women causes them to lower their opinions about the topics and underestimate their abilities.

In addition to this, men have shown to be more confident than women in performing tasks and activities when concerning tasks that are more masculine. This makes them feel more competent than women with financial tasks and therefore more confident in their decisions.

This hypothesis was tested by various models one created in 1998 which stated that this hypothesis came from a bias called self-serving attribution bias. This model displays how an overconfident investor will only refer to their successes and failures as their parameter for investment options. By doing so, they lean on their successes as a benchmark and become overly confident. This self-serving attribution bias has been shown prevalent in more men than women. Tracing back due to the rationale that men trade more than women and tend to take more risks in investment.

The hypothesis of overconfidence was also tested by looking at data from trading records from over 35,000 households, taking only into account common stocks. Through this research it was seen that the average turnover rate of common stocks for men is 1.5 times larger than for women. However, through this, men tend to reduce their return through trading by 0.94 percentage points less when compared to women. This is seen more drastically when comparing single men and single women.

Furthermore, demographical data of accounts in brokerage firms contributes to this study to understand where this disparity comes from. It has been observed that on average men opened their accounts in brokerages around 4.7 years before the experiment whilst women opened their accounts 4.3 years. So, it is identified how men have on average started their journey in investments before women. The empirical data throughout this research showed that women tend to carry less risky assets being more risk averse, even though they are roughly at the same household income level and age. However, this gender gap cannot be taken responsible for the quality of portfolios of both genders.

Fundamentally, this model represents that humans do not act rationally when making investment decisions, contrary to what most behavioral economists assume.

The model considers investors that are overconfident, which leads to an excess of trading in financial markets. Psychological results point out that men are more overconfident than women, especially in areas of finance. These overconfident investors overestimate their precision and overtrade however, men tend to underperform women by this. Whilst empirical result provides stronger evidence for a model related to behavioral finance which shows how men trade more than women leading to fewer returns than women.

Understanding gender bias

Recognizing how gender stereotypes and societal norms can serve as anchors that influence financial decision-making can be very important. In real-life scenarios, it can boil down to multiple aspects and frames that shape investment behavior and financial decision-making. Gender biases play a pertinent role when it comes to understanding and addressing gender differences in finance. Men and women may respond differently to losses and gains due to loss aversion. Women may be more sensitive to potential losses and exhibit greater aversion to risk while men may be more willing to take risks to maximize their gains/profits. Design investment strategies and educational programs account for these differences. Women may be framed as more risk-averse or less financially savvy, leading to self-fulfilling prophecies and reinforcing gender biases in investment behavior. Another behavioral factor stems from herding behavior, that is, exploring how gender-related social dynamics such as peer influence and societal norms contribute to herding behavior in investment decisions. Women may be more influenced by social consensus and seek validation from their peers when making financial choices. They may feel pressured to conform to perceived norms of caution and risk aversion, leading them to follow the investment decisions of others, particularly in volatile market conditions. On the other hand, men may be more prone to overconfidence and follow the herd in pursuit of speculative opportunities when it comes to investing. Gender differences in information-seeking behavior and cognitive biases can amplify herding tendencies. Women may be more inclined to seek out multiple sources of information and consider diverse perspectives before making decisions, which can mitigate herding behavior to some extent. However, men may exhibit confirmation bias, seeking information that confirms their existing beliefs or biases, and may be more susceptible to herd mentality, especially in environments where overconfidence is prevalent.

Furthermore, mental accounting is a behavior where investors place different values that act on various configurations of money investments. Differences in mental accounting between genders can influence how men and women perceive, prioritize, and allocate their resources. For example, research suggests that women often engage in more meticulous budgeting and tracking of expenses as compared to men. They use mental accounting to categorize their spending into different consumption baskets/buckets like groceries, savings, going out, and miscellaneous and monitor their financial decisions and activities within each bucket. Men take a more relaxed approach in this sense and are less inclined to be stingy towards spending money. They may prioritize discretionary purchases or investments without actually monitoring their overall expenditure. Moreover, when it comes to debt management, women are more cautious about taking on debt and use mental accounting to prioritize debt management. They may prefer paying off high-interest debt and avoid accumulating excessive liabilities. Whereas, men may be more comfortable with debt and use mental accounting to rationalize taking on debt for short-term consumption. They may prioritize leveraging debt to finance opportunities for growth or investment in assets that have the potential for high returns. These are some of several differences in the way that women and men run businesses and what influence gender can have in working groups.

Research scientists from Spain have been able to prove and provide evidence from small start-up firms in Spain about the influence that gender has on financial decision-making and investment behavior. Gender can influence the level of corporate debt alongside its maturity and cost. This idea stems from the fact that women often prefer to accept lower levels of risk and the presence of discrimination of gender on the part of credit supply. American New York Times writer, Loden M. argues that women fall on the more qualitative side of things, while men; are quantitative.

And so, concerning financial decisions, the levels of risk aversion between genders prove to be pertinent. Several research papers provide evidence to show that women tend to prefer a lower level of risk. As an example, it seems that women entrepreneurs tend to run smaller companies that have lower debt levels and that women invest less money in their businesses and expect lower returns than men. These examples act as examples when trying to understand behavioral factors like mental accounting, risk perception, etc that influence financial decisions between genders.

Supporting studies and data

A few studies have been conducted about gender disparities in investment behavior, to test these theories, and have revealed statistically significant differences in the decision-making process between men and women. For instance, a study conducted by Bajtelsmit and Bernasek (1996), ranked gender as the third most influential factor in investment determinants, following age and income.

In a sample comprising 100 male and 100 female investors, it was found that men make more frequent changes in asset allocation compared to women (Smith, 1999). Specifically, 29% of men alter their allocations frequently, against 15% for women. This point was supported by another research paper (Barber & Odean, 2001) that showed that women turn their portfolios over approximately 53% annually against 77% for men equivalent to a monthly turnover of 4.4% for women and 6.4% for men. These findings support models of overconfidence that predict that women trade less than men due to less overconfidence.

Additionally, marital status can also impact investment behavior, for example, single men presumed to be less influenced by external factors in decision-making, exhibit significantly higher turnover rates and lower

returns compared to single women. This suggests that individual traits, rather than marital dynamics, play a crucial role in shaping investment decisions, particularly among male investors.

Finally, psychological factors play a pivotal role in shaping these differences as well. In fact, women tend to approach investment decisions with caution and reliance on financial advisors due to their higher level of risk aversion and meticulous information processing (Smith, 1999). This leads to delayed investment initiation and a preference for safer, lower-return instruments. Conversely, men display a greater risk tolerance and fast decision-making, driven by confidence and a desire for higher returns (Smith, 1999).

Limitations and other perspectives

It's critical to note that these conclusions should be met with a high degree of skepticism since financial attitudes and behaviors are dictated by individual circumstances, personality, and human experience. In addition, gendered decision-making is based on a range of factors, such as age, education, level of income, and cultural peculiarities. As the research has provided evidence that gender impacts investment behavior and the choice of making financial decisions in numerous ways, it is important to highlight that these trends are not characteristic of all individuals. The differences between males and females are not always proven right, and personal peculiarities are highly likely to determine different behaviors.

While men are often perceived as having higher risk tolerance, there are many women who are comfortable with taking on significant investment risks. Likewise, some men may exhibit a more conservative approach to investing. Whether or not women are inclined to take risks depends on the context. In some circumstances, it transpires that women may be more likely to accept what she terms "social risk." Social risk is an important decision in investing, entrepreneurship, and business more broadly about betting on people, addressing social problems, or trying to find the right balance among conflicting interests.

"Most, if not all, significant strategic decisions have non-financial implications," points out Dr Alemany. "They may not be as easy to quantify as financial implications, but they're often just as important. Women take such risks into account and are willing to take bets on those grounds. Firms that don't include female representation in investment and decision-making teams might therefore themselves be missing part of the equation when looking at risk." Social risks might not be sufficiently taken into account, and opportunities for a positive impact beyond mere financial success could be missed. "I think there's a greater willingness among women to take risks on a person or mission," says Bertram Smith.

Although men may report higher levels of financial literacy and confidence, there are many women who are equally knowledgeable and confident in managing their finances. Today, women control a third of total US household financial assets—more than $10 trillion. Conversely, some men may lack financial literacy and exhibit low confidence in financial decision-making.

Additionally, while societal expectations can affect gender-driven patterns of financial conduct, people can disobey such expectations. Demographic and personal characteristics can override gendered distinctions. Furthermore, specific life events can have varying financial implications irrespective of gender. For instance, while the birth of a child has been shown to result in raised financial obligations for the majority of women, some men experience shifts in similar financial dispositions. Nonetheless, income and wealth disparities can produce disparate opportunities for investment and risk behavior. People with a high salary or significant wealth may be able to utilize various asset classes and supports even though gender is not a consideration.

Gender may influence financial attitudes and behaviors; however, it is only one of the many variables that impact individual choices. Results show that the more complex factors are taken into account, the more complete insight into financial decision-making is achieved.

Conclusion

A complex interaction of social, cultural, and biological factors influences the multifaceted phenomenon of gender influence on financial decision making and investment behavior. Because of factors such as lower confidence levels, lower financial literacy rates, and social conventions that prohibit women from taking risks, women tend to be more cautious and risk-averse investors than males. But it's crucial to understand that these inclinations are shaped by cultural conditioning as well as access to financial means and education rather than being innately gendered. Furthermore, research suggests that women prioritize long-term financial objectives and take a more comprehensive approach when making investment decisions, taking into account aspects like sustainability, social impact, and family obligations. This emphasizes the importance of financial planning and investment management to take into account a variety of perspectives. On the other side, due in part to cultural expectations of masculinity and authority in financial decision-making, males are frequently seen as more self-assured and risk-tolerant investors. Nevertheless this image ignores the diversity of male investors and fails to take into consideration the large proportion of men who also behave in a risk-averse manner or consult financial advisors. In conclusion, a comprehensive strategy that incorporates targeted financial education, empowerment programs, and legislative interventions aimed at advancing gender equality and financial inclusion is required to address the impact of gender on financial decision-making and investing behavior.